DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 268 046

SO 017 035

the state of the state of the same of the

AUTHOR Perrone, Vito TITLE Peace Studies.

INSTITUTION North Dakota Univ., Grand Forks. Center for Teaching

and Learning.

PUB DATE 86

NOTE 9p.; Document printed on colored paper.

PUB TYPE Viewpoints (120) -- Collected Works - Serials (022)

JOURNAL CIT Insights into Open Education; v18 n5 pl-6 Jan-Feb

1986

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Citizen Participation:

Citizen Participation; Citizenship Education; Controversial Issues (Course Content); *Course Content; Curriculum; *Curriculum Development;

Disarmament; *Educational Needs; Elementary Secondary Education; *Nuclear Warfare; *Peace; Program Content;

*Social Studies; Youth

ABSTRACT

Themes that should be included in an elementary and secondary peace studies curriculum are discussed in this newsletter essay. Social studies teachers must use great discretion as to what topics in the peace studies curriculum are taught at what level. The study of nuclear arms should be included in peace studies at the secondary level. Research and informal interviews with secondary and college students indicate that youth are not knowledgeable about nuclear arms and, furthermore, do not think that nuclear policy can be influenced by what individuals do or don't do. The social studies curriculum teaches students a great deal about war. But it should also, through peace studies at all levels, focus greater attention on peace. Elementary and secondary students should learn about peacemakers, those who oppose war, and about alternatives to war. There are many other themes that can be included in peace studies curriculum, e.g., foreign language study, cross cultural studies, and sister city/sister school projects. (RM)



Peace Studies*

By Vito Perrone University of North Dakota

discussion, I wish to establish several critical points. To begin with, you represent a mixed group of has railed against curricula that elementary and secondary teachers. While the social studies goals at these varying levels have similarities, there are important differences as well. There are aspects of Peace Studies, a "reverence for life" in Albert Schweitzer's terms, or "ashima," the non-violence against any form of life so eloquently expressed by Gandhi, or conflict resolution, or learning about diverse cultures and world views, for example, that can be various grade levels. On the other hand, issues of active citizenship will assume some different directions and one would hardly face very young children with all of the dilemmas of nuclear arms. They certainly should be spared all of the possible views of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. In the area of peace studies, as with all other curricula, age a deep understanding of the regardless of their perceived polit-lissues which give shape to public ical or social content, the teacher needs to bring a powerful understanding of development as well as good judgment.

*Speech given October 18, 1985, at the annual meeting of the North Dakota Council for Social Studies.

I will not in this presentation always differentiate in terms of what is appropriate for one level and less appropriate for another, but I will count on you to know that I could do this and that you will make the differentiations that seem sensible to you.

By implication, I have already suggested that Peace Studies is more than a study of nuclear arms or of But inasmuch as Peace Studies is often defined in this narrow man-Before I get very far into this ner, its appropriateness has become a matter of debate. Phyllis Schlafly's Eagle Forum, for example, focus attention on nuclear arms and nuclear war, viewing such studies as values laden and political. of course, essentially correct (though I believe her analysis is questionable). To examine closely the history of the current arms race, including the failed efforts to establish reasonable controls, the redundancy in the numbers of missiles and warheads, the search for superiority through yet another technological breakthrough, the danaddressed in similar ways across the gers, and the like, is to bring into the classroom an overload of political and moral dilemmas. But given my particular view that the schools should be intentional citizenshipforming institutions, settings which encourage active participation of students in their communities, in the social, political, and economic life around them, places that encourdiscourse, I would, of course, support the inclusion of the arms race, with all of its political, economic and moral dilemmas, into a Peace Studies Program in the schools.

> In contrast to this interest on behalf of active citizenship, Mrs. Schlafly and others argue that the schools should be neutral, to as



large a degree as possible valuefree. Yet we know that the schools can never be neutral in any absolute weeks or months of suffering and sense and they cannot be value-free. agony." By what they do and don't do as institutions in their stated curricula and procedures, by what teachers our incoming freshmen-essentially do and don't do, stress and don't stress, and by the ways in which teachers live out their lives, the commitments they make as citizens, important values are constantly being expressed.

In the spring, at our Peace Studies Symposium, Brian Petkau, a Canadian religious teacher, presented A Prairie Puzzle, a study of nuclear arms in North Dakota and what these weapons represent. was a powerful personal statement. You would have been surprised at how much anger several young North Dakota students expressed.about "how little they knew." They asked why they hadn't learned more about the missile fields, the kinds of weapons that existed and the related control mechanisms, the cost of these weapons, and importantly, they stressed, their potential as targets. making the nuclear arms in North Dakota or in the country or in the world a matter of serious study in the schools these students attended, what kinds of values were being expressed? Were students being prepared for active citizenship?

exists a specter of fear among young chance of a reversal in the arms people that may well be unparalleled race. It was the first time in --a sense that the future is not at years I found myself in agreement. all secure. This comes out in many ways--in the silence, in the denial, in the expressions of confusion. I sat with a group of eighth and ninth dents. graders a year ago who shared with me their constant sense of fear. One said, "Every time I hear about more weapons, I think the war is getting closer." Another said, "I'm that needs to be read by every genglad to be living in Grand Forks

because I know I'll be killed immediately and won't have to go through

Two years ago, we asked all of two months removed from high school ---to read Nuclear War: What's In It For You. Those who came to the discussions--essentially 200 out of the potential 1,500 incoming freshmen-were almost universally surprised about how many weapons existed as well as the magnitude of their destructive capabilities. They were also dismayed about their level of ignorance, their sense of despair. We spent a good deal of time stressing the major theme of the book; namely, that the critical issues surrounding nuclear weaponry and nuclear policy are absolutely understandable by common persons--even students. And further, that nuclear policy can be influenced by what individuals do or don't do. tended not to believe this, their sense of impotence being dominant. In regard to the role ordinary citizens might play, you might have noted a recent column by the conservative writer James Kilpatrick in which he suggests that the so-called keepers of the wisdom of nuclear arms have brought us closer to catastrophe than security and that the understandings of so-called nonexperts--the citizens--needed to Whether we like it or not there become paramount or there was little

> I need to make one more comment about that group of freshman stu-In the 1950's and 60's, one met few high school graduates who had not read John Hersey's Hiroshima Among these freshmen, only a handful had read it. It is a classic text eration of students. It is, as

Robert Lifton suggests, "our text," the work that puts us in touch with the past and the present and possibly the future.

I asked a group of 25 high school junior and senior students a while back to rate their understandings of nuclear arms. On a 1-10 scale with 10 being high, every response fell at four and below. When I asked, "What should your understanding be?" everyone checked 8, 9, or 10. In response to the question, "Is it possible for you to one symbol and in the siege called United States?, " no response was above five. In response to whether the school should offer a strong unit on nuclear arms issues, every response was above eight. With regard to whether individuals can. influence public policy, no one responded above three. how likely it would be for them to attend a public hearing on "Nuclear War Crisis Relocation. Twenty-two responded "not at all likely." Most three decades as we have come to had never attended a major public. say, I believe we have a lot of work in Korea, Vietnam, Cambodia, the to do.

To address the subject of Peace Studies, we do need to work our way through war, but we can't stop there.

I have tried over the past few days, as I have thought about this presentation, to reflect on war historically. As you know, war has somehow carried with it an imagery of the heroic. (In this regard, if I were in a high school today, I would work Rambo into a major inquiry study.) My early schooling provided the usual detached matterof-fact accounts of war. And the Saturday afternoon movies were a constant stream of stirring western victories over the "savage Indians." My early memories of World War II,

in most respects a war fought for understandable, even righteous purposes, revolved around glamorous men--soldiers-at-arms. As the war was coming to an end, however, by then being a bit older, having witnessed the changes in my mother with the loss of my brother, having learned about the numbers of children, women, and old people killed in the fire bombings of Hamburg and Dresden and in the atomic furnaces of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, in the holocaust of which Dachau was but know as much as the President of the Stalingrad, and in witnessing the streams, of refugees in all parts of the globe seeking new, possibly secure, homes, I came to realize as did most others, I suspect, that war means more than soldiers and heroic. combat. It means, even more, the devastation of children, their prin-I also asked cipal nurturers, and their nurturant communities. This broader portrayal of war has become increasingly the conventional wisdom in these last witness the tragedies -- the deaths of hearing on any subject. Needless to millions of men, women, and children Middle East, in Nigeria, Afghanistan, Central America, and South Africa.

> To what degree does war--its motivations, its heroic dimensions, dominate our social studies program? Young people learn a great deal in school about the violence in the lives of Caesar, Napoleon, Grant, and Patton, but very little about those who opposed militarism. much reading do students do about our recent peacemakers--Martin Luther King, Dorothy Day, Mother Teresa, Adolpho Perez Esquival of Argentina, Helder Camara of Brazil, Desmond Tutu of South Africa? much is known about Gandhi or the long history of non-violence? How much of what is offered as text opens up fresh ways of thinking about war, or the alternatives to

What do we know about the current struggle between the Soviet Union and the United States? it different or similar to the struggle between Sparta and Athens in the age of the Peloponnesian Wars, between Rome and Carthage in the age of the Punic wars, between France and Germany in the late 19th and early 20th centuries? Students learn about the standardly expressed causes of war -- there were five for the Civil War, four for World War I, and six for World War II--but do any of them carry much logic?

I was deeply moved as a high school student by Remarque's All Quiet on the Western Front, by Mark Twain's War Prayer, and by Destoevski's Grand Inquisitor. also found more recently the account in Slaughterhouse Five by Vonnegut-the scene of Billy Pilgrim watching an old World War II film, growing weary as the night progressed, and then beginning to see the movie running backward--enormously moving. War clearly needs a broader brush I want to share a bit of that.

American planes, full of holes and wounded men and corpses took off backwards from an airfield in England. Over France, a few German fighter planes flew at them backwards, sucked bullets and shell fragments from some of the planes They did the same for and crewmen. recked American bombers on the ground, and those planes flew up backwards to join the formation.

The formation flew backwards over a German city that was in The bombers opened their bomb bay doors, exerted a miraculous magnetism which shrunk the fires, gathered them into cylindrical steel containers, and lifted the containers into the bellies of the planes. The containers were stored neatly in racks. The Germans below had miraculous devices

of their own, which were long steel They used them to suck more fragments from the crewmen and planes. But there were still a few wounded Americans, though, and some of the bombers were in bad repair. Over France, though, German fighters came up again, made everything and everybody as good as new.

When the bombers got back to their base, the steel cylinders were taken from the racks and shipped back to the United States of America, where factories were operating day and night, dismantling the cylinders, separating the dangerous contents into miner-Touchingly, it was mainly women who did the work. The minerals were then shipped to specialists in remote areas. It was their business to put them into the ground, to hide them cleverly, so they would never hurt anybody ever again.

than we have given it.

But more than war, a Peace Studies effort ought really to focus greater attention on Peace. Peace merely the absence of war? is it the absence of violence? is violence only direct killing or ia it violence when persons are treated badly, not provided adequate food or shelter, educational and employment opportunities, or political liberty?

Some of the most interesting attempts I have seen in the schools to focus on Peace have worked around the following themes: What is Peace? What is Peacemaking? can problems be addressed nonviolently? How can students be involved in Peacemaking? The possibilities around these themes are, I believe, endless--in the elementary as well as the secondary school.

What makes the themes interesting is ing," or the importance of teachers that teachers and students can enter as models of optimism through work them as co-inquirers, persons who together attempt to create a vision of peace and identify and practice the skills that make for peacemaking.

In the importance of teachers as models of optimism through work as activists on behalf of peace. These, too, are related to a program of Peace Studies. A social studies program that does not address peacemaking, that does not help students

I have only touched the surface. I haven't mentioned foreign language study, cross cultural studies, sister city and sister school projects in relation to the Soviet Union or China or Mexico or Nicaragua or Argentina or Kenya, an examination of the language of the Atomic Age from Missiles as "Peacemakers" to the possible destruction of cities as "demographic target-

as activists on behalf of peace. These, too, are related to a program of Peace Studies. A social studies program that does not address peacemaking, that does not help students to understand more fully the critical public discourse of the day, that does not give far more attention to the world community, the problems of resource use and distribution, the possibilities for greater sharing and cooperation, of greater respect for and understanding of difference, is now an adequate social studies program in this difficult age.

Vito Perrone is the Dean of the Center for Teaching and Learning at the University of North; Dakota.

TWO RECENT PUBLICATIONS FROM THE

on make strain of the same was a second of the few first of the owner with a same of the

NORTH DAKOTA STUDY GROUP

A (Fhiladelphia) Teacher's Journal is just that—a journal which records Lynne Strieb's year of working with 33 children in a first grade classroom. In the introduction Lynne wrote: "The more I wrote, the more I observed in my classroom and the more I wanted to write. As I re-read my journal I got more ideas for teaching. I expanded the journal to include other aspects of teaching—anecdates, observations of children and their involvement in activities, interactions with parents both in and out of school, my plans, descriptions of the pressures on public school teachers."

In the introduction of Researching Educational Practice Loren S. Barritt states: "When I go to meetings of the American Education Research Association (AERA), I find very little on the program which interests me and a great deal which alienates me. At AERA meetings, the papers which are read suggest that techniques of collecting information are more important than what the collection is all about--that is, the third one is trying to understand. There is too much talk about things which matter very little-numbers, methods, contexts stripped of their meaning in the name of research -- and too little about the important events -- the real stuff of life -- which I would like to know more about. " The monograph is divided into five chapters: The first is a criticism of Educational Research in its presentform. The second chapter is their introduction to phenomenology. Chapter three presents several suggestions for doing research. Chapter four is a discussion of some frequently heard criticisms of phenomenology and their responses to them. Chapter five consists of a number of published phenomenological studies.

These may be purchased for \$5.00 each plus postage and handling (15% for 1-10 copies; 10% for 11-30 copies; 5% for 30+ copies) from:

North Dakota Study Group Box 8158 University of North Dakota Grand Forks, ND 58202

MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO THE NORTH DAKOTA STUDY GROUP

1



SUBSCRIBE TO INSIGHTS

INSIGHTS is published eight times during the academic year by the Center for Teaching and Learning, University of North Dakota.

Subscriptions are \$3.50 per year payable by check or money order to INSIGHTS, Center for Teaching and Learning, Box 8158, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND 58202

INSIGHTS

Center for Teaching and Learning-Box 8158 University of North Dakota Grand Forks, ND 58202



ERIC, Reading and Comm. Skills NCTE 1111 Kenyon Road Urbana, IL 61801 5 JM2186 60 = 0

9

